<u>Motivation</u>. Cluster categories were introduced in [BuanMarshReinekeReitenTodorov2006, CalderoChapatonSchiffler2006]. There are generalizations to the ∞ -gon [HolmJørgensen2012] and completed ∞ -gon [BaurGratz2018]. There is a further generalization to discrete laminations of the hyperbolic plane [IgusaTodorov2015b] and a further algebraic generalization in [IgusaR.Todorov2020]. Geometrically, all of these cluster structures should be related. However, functors cannot preserve all the triangulated and cluster structures simultaneously.

<u>Cluster Theories and Abstract Cluster Structures</u>. Cluster theories and embeddings of cluster theories were introduced in [IgusaR.Todorov2020]. The ability to mutate *every* object in a cluster is not required. A unique choice of mutation, if it exists, is required. Abstract cluster structures and the corresponding embeddings are introduced in [R.2020].

"Definition". Let \mathcal{C} be a Krull–Schmidt category and \mathbf{P} a pairwise compatibility condition on its (isoclasses of) indecomposables. If it exists, the cluster theory $\mathscr{T}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathcal{C})$ is a groupoid in the category of sets with embedding $I_{\mathbf{P},\mathcal{C}} : \mathscr{T}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathcal{S}et$. Objects of $\mathscr{T}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathcal{C})$ are maximally \mathbf{P} -compatible sets of indecomposables in \mathcal{C} ; morphisms are compositions of \mathbf{P} -mutations.

An embedding of cluster theories (F,η) : $\mathscr{T}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathscr{T}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{D})$ is an embedding F: $\mathscr{T}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathscr{T}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{D})$ and a natural transformation $\eta : I_{\mathbf{P},\mathcal{C}} \to I_{\mathbf{Q},\mathcal{D}} \circ F$ whose component morphisms are injections.

"Definition". If it exists, the abstract cluster structure $\mathscr{S}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathcal{C})$ is a subgroupoid of $\mathscr{T}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathcal{C})$. For each **P**-cluster T, every $x \in T$ must be **P**-mutable and $\mathcal{C}/\operatorname{add} T$ must be abelian. Furthermore, $\mathscr{S}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathcal{C})$ must be maximal with respect to these properties.

Embeddings of abstract cluster structures are defined similarly to those for cluster theories. Every Dynkin type cluster category yields a cluster theory. However, the pairwise compatibility conditions in [HolmJørgensen2012, IgusaTodorov2015b], for example, yield cluster theories, not cluster structures. The cluster structures examined by those authors are indeed abstract cluster structures.

<u>Main Results</u>. Let \mathbf{N}_m and \mathbf{N}_n be the pairwise compatibility conditions for A_m and A_n , respectively, from [BuanMarshReinekeReitenTodorov2006, CalderoChapatonSchiffler2006]. Let \mathbf{N}_{∞} , $\mathbf{N}_{\overline{\infty}}$, and $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the pairwise compatibility conditions in [HolmJørgensen2012], [BaurGratz2018], and [IgusaTodorov2015b], respectively. Finally, let **E** be the pairwise compatibility condition introduced in [IgusaR.Todorov2020]. The cluster categories in the notation are suppressed in the theorems.

Theorem (R.2020). There is a chain of embeddings of cluster theories, for 0 < m < n,

$$\mathscr{T}_{\mathbf{N}_m} \longrightarrow \mathscr{T}_{\mathbf{N}_n} \longrightarrow \mathscr{T}_{\mathbf{N}_{\infty}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{T}_{\mathbf{N}_{\overline{\infty}}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{T}_{\mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{R}}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{T}_{\mathbf{E}}.$$

Theorem (R.2020). There is a commutative diagram of embeddings of cluster theories (left) that restricts to a commutative diagram of embeddings of abstract cluster structures (right):



<u>Outlook</u>. There are other type A cluster categories [IgusaTodorov2015a, PaquetteYıldırım2020]. Does including these cluster theories still yield a chain or something like a lattice instead? Do they have abstract cluster structures and if so how do they fit into the second theorem?